





Blood 142 (2023) 104-106

## The 65th ASH Annual Meeting Abstracts

## **ORAL ABSTRACTS**

## 705.CELLULAR IMMUNOTHERAPIES: LATE PHASE AND COMMERCIALLY AVAILABLE THERAPIES

## Multicenter, Real-World Study in Patients with R/R Large B-Cell Lymphoma (LBCL) Who Received Lisocabtagene Maraleucel (liso-cel) in the United States (US)

Jennifer L. Crombie<sup>1</sup>, Loretta J. Nastoupil, MD<sup>2</sup>, Charalambos Andreadis<sup>3</sup>, Iris Isufi<sup>4</sup>, Bradley Hunter<sup>5</sup>, Allison Winter, MD<sup>6</sup>, Brian Hess, MD<sup>7</sup>, Stefan K. Barta, MD<sup>8</sup>, Michael J. Frigault<sup>9</sup>, Maria Lia Palomba, MD<sup>10</sup>, Natalie S. Grover, MD<sup>11</sup>, Michael D. Jain, MD PhD 12, Tamara K. Moyo, MD 13, Sagar S. Patel, MD 14, Priyanka A Pophali, MD 15, David Bernasconi 16, Charimar Santiago Parrilla <sup>17</sup>, Amani Kitali, MBA, MPH, PMP <sup>16</sup>, Fei Fei Liu <sup>16</sup>, Mecide Gharibo <sup>16</sup>, Marcelo Pasquini, MDMS <sup>17</sup>

Background: Liso-cel is an autologous, CD19-directed, 4-1BB CAR T cell product administered at equal target doses of CD8 <sup>+</sup> and CD4 <sup>+</sup> CAR <sup>+</sup> T cells that has demonstrated efficacy and favorable safety based on clinical studies. Liso-cel is approved in the US for the treatment of adults with R/R LBCL after 1 or more lines of systemic therapy, but data describing outcomes in patients treated with liso-cel in the real-world setting are limited. We report real-world clinical effectiveness and safety of commercial liso-cel in patients with R/R LBCL based on a postmarketing study using data collected at the Center for International Blood and Marrow Transplant Research (CIBMTR).

Methods: This is a noninterventional, observational, multicenter study of US patients who were followed in the CIBMTR Cellular Therapy Registry between February 2021 and November 2022 after infusion with commercial liso-cel (conforming product only) for the treatment of R/R LBCL. Patients who received nonconforming product are being followed in a separate postmarketing study. The primary objective was to evaluate the real-world clinical effectiveness and safety outcomes of patients with R/R LBCL receiving liso-cel, including ORR, CR, duration of response (DOR), progression-free survival (PFS), overall survival (OS), and risk of cytokine release syndrome (CRS) and immune effector cell-associated neurotoxicity syndrome (ICANS). Results were analyzed and reported descriptively.

Results: At data cutoff (May 4, 2023), 323 patients who received commercial liso-cel across > 50 treatment sites were included in the analysis. The median vein-to-vein time (from leukapheresis to liso-cel infusion) was 36 days (IQR, 34-42), which is consistent with clinical studies of liso-cel. The median age was 70 years (range, 24-91). Patients included those with high-risk disease (Table). Most patients had DLBCL not otherwise specified (NOS; 81%), 27% had disease transformed from indolent lymphoma, 12% had high-grade B-cell lymphoma (HGBCL), 37% had International Prognostic Index (IPI)  $\geq$  3, 6% had active CNS involvement, and 15% had prior transplant. The median number of prior lines of systemic therapy was 3 (range, 0–11), with 25% of patients having received > 4 lines. The median time from diagnosis to liso-cel infusion was 1.4 years (range, 0.2–29.7). At a median follow-up of 7.4 months, ORR was 79%, with a 65% CR rate. Median time to response was 1.2 months

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Dana Farber Cancer Institute, Boston, MA

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Helen Diller Family Comprehensive Cancer Center, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, CA

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven, CT

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Intermountain LDS Hospital, Salt Lake City, UT

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>Department of Hematology and Medical Oncology, Taussig Cancer Institute, Cleveland Clinic, Cleveland, OH

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Medical University of South Carolina, Charleston, SC

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Abramson Cancer Center, University of Pennsylvania, Perelman School of Medicine, Philadelphia, PA

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup>Cellular Therapy Service, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup>The University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup>Department of Blood and Marrow Transplantation and Cellular Immunotherapy, Moffitt Cancer Center, Tampa, FL

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Atrium Health / Levine Cancer Institute, Charlotte, NC

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup>Transplant and Cellular Therapy Program, Huntsman Cancer Institute, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup>Carbone Cancer Center, University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup>Bristol Myers Squibb, Princeton, NJ

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup>Center for International Blood and Marrow Transplant Research, Medical College of Wisconsin, Milwaukee, WI

**ORAL ABSTRACTS** Session 705

(IQR, 1.0-3.1). The median DOR has not been reached; DOR rate at 6 months was 73% (95% CI, 66%-79%). The median PFS and median OS had not been reached at the time of data cutoff. Estimated 6-month PFS and OS rates were 64% and 82%, respectively. Most events of CRS and ICANS were of low grade, with no CRS and ICANS reported in 48% and 70% of patients, respectively. Overall, 52% of patients had CRS and 3% had grade ≥ 3 events. There was a high concordance on CRS grading per Lee 2014 and American Society for Transplantation and Cellular Therapy criteria. The most common treatments for CRS were tocilizumab (20%) and corticosteroids/tocilizumab (12%). ICANS was seen in 30% of patients, with grade ≥ 3 events in 11%. ICANS was mostly treated with corticosteroids (12%) and corticosteroids/antiepileptics (5%). Based on the attributed cause of death, grade 5 CRS or ICANS were observed in 3 and 3 patients, respectively, and all but 2 patients had concomitant causes of death, including disease progression (n = 3) and hemophagocytic lymphohisticocytosis (n = 2). Prolonged cytopenia (grade 4 thrombocytopenia and/or neutropenia persistent at 30 days postinfusion) occurred in 10% of patients.

Conclusions: This is the first large, multicenter, real-world study of patients with R/R LBCL who received commercial liso-cel in the US. Baseline characteristics of patients treated in the real-world setting were consistent with or worse than the liso-cel registrational study experience, including the percentage of patients who had received  $\geq 4$  lines of prior systemic therapy (25% vs 26% in TRANSCEND). One-time infusion of liso-cel demonstrated deep and durable responses in patients with R/R LBCL across a broad age range, including those with high-risk features characteristic of poor prognosis. The incidence of severe (grade > 3) CRS and ICANS was low. These results further support liso-cel as a therapeutic option with a favorable benefit/risk profile for a broad, real-world population of patients with R/R LBCL.

Disclosures Crombie: ADC Therapeutics: Consultancy; Karyopharm: Consultancy; Seagen: Consultancy; Genmab: Consultancy; Genmab: Consultancy; Consulta tancy; Dana-Farber Cancer Institute: Current Employment; Kite Pharma: Consultancy; Bayer: Research Funding; Abbvie: Research Funding; Merck: Research Funding; Genetech/Roche: Research Funding; MorphoSys/Incyte: Consultancy. Nastoupil: AstraZeneca: Honoraria; Gilead Sciences/Kite Pharma: Honoraria, Research Funding; Regeneron: Honoraria; Genentech, Inc., Genmab, Gilead/Kite, Janssen, Merck, Novartis, Takeda: Honoraria, Research Funding; Daiichi Sankyo: Honoraria, Research Funding; DeNovo: Honoraria; Caribou Biosciences: Honoraria, Research Funding; Bristol Myers Squibb/Celgene: Honoraria, Research Funding; ADC Therapeutics: Honoraria; AbbVie: Honoraria. Andreadis: Kite/Gilead: Consultancy; BMS: Consultancy, Other: Grants or contracts; Novartis: Consultancy, Other: Grants or contracts; Genentech: Other: Grants or contracts; Merck & Co., Inc.: Research Funding. Isufi: Incyte: Consultancy; Abbvie: Consultancy; Genmab: Consultancy; Gilead: Consultancy; Gilead: Consultancy; Genmab: Consultancy; Gilead: tancy, Current equity holder in publicly-traded company; ADC Therapeutics: Consultancy; Beam Therpauetics: Consultancy. Hunter: Novartis: Consultancy, Honoraria; Bristol Myers Squibb: Consultancy, Honoraria; Kite Pharma: Consultancy, Honoraria, Speakers Bureau; Genmab: Consultancy, Honoraria, Speakers Bureau; Janssen: Consultancy, Honoraria; ADC Therapeutics: Consultancy, Honoraria; Notable Labs: Consultancy, Honoraria; AbbVie: Consultancy, Honoraria, Speakers Bureau; Genentech: Consultancy, Honoraria; Astellas: Consultancy, Honoraria. Winter: AstraZeneca: Consultancy; Janssen: Consultancy; Seattle Genetics: Consultancy; ADC Therapeutics: Consultancy; BeiGene: Consultancy. Hess: ADC Therapeutics: Consultancy; Bristol Myers Squibb: Consultancy; Barta: Daiichi Sankyo: Consultancy; Affimed: Consultancy; Janssen: Consultancy; Acrotech: Consultancy. Frigault: Kite, BMS, Novartis, Iovance: Consultancy; Kite, Arcellx, Novartis: Research Funding. Palomba: BMS: Honoraria; Cellectar: Honoraria; Kite: Honoraria; Ceramedix: Honoraria; GarudaTherapeutics: Honoraria; Juno: Honoraria, Patents & Royalties; MustangBio: Honoraria; Novartis: Honoraria; Pluto Immunotherapeutics: Honoraria; Rheos: Honoraria; Seres Therapeutics: Honoraria, Patents & Royalties; Smart Immune: Honoraria; Thymofox: Honoraria; Synthekine: Honoraria, Grover: Kite: Honoraria; Genentech: Honoraria; Seagen: Honoraria; Caribou Biosciences: Honoraria; Tessa Therapeutics: Research Funding; Novartis: Honoraria; Sangamo: Current holder of stock options in a privately-held company; Seattle Genetics: Consultancy; ADC Therapeutics: Consultancy, Honoraria. Jain: Incyte: Research Funding; Loxo@Lilly: Research Funding; Myeloid Therapeutics: Consultancy, Honoraria; Kite/Gilead: Consultancy, Honoraria, Research Funding. Moyo: Kite Pharmaceuticals: Consultancy, Patel: Sanofi: Speakers Bureau; CTi BioPharma: Consultancy; orca bio: Research Funding; Kite Pharma: Speakers Bureau. Pophali: SeaGen: Honoraria. Bernasconi: Bristol Myers Squibb: Current Employment, Current equity holder in publicly-traded company. Kitali: Bristol Myers Squibb: Current Employment, Current equity holder in publicly-traded company. Liu: Bristol Myers Squibb: Current Employment, Current equity holder in publicly-traded company. Gharibo: Bristol Myers Squibb: Current Employment, Current equity holder in publicly-traded company. Pasquini: Janssen: Research Funding; Novartis: Research Funding; Kite, a Gilead Company: Honoraria, Research Funding; Bristol Myers Squibb: Consultancy, Research Funding; Kite Brazil: Honoraria.

ORAL ABSTRACTS Session 705

Table. Baseline demographics and disease characteristics

	All patients
Characteristic	(N = 323)
Male, n (%)	204 (63)
Age, median (range), years	70 (24–91)
< 65, n (%)	107 (33)
≥ 65, n (%)	216 (67)
≥ 75, n (%)	89 (28)
Histology, n (%)	
DLBCL	270 (84)
DLBCL NOS	263 (81)
Transformed from CLL (Richter's)	22 (7)
Transformed from other lymphoma histology	66 (20)
PMBCL	2 (1)
FL3B	4 (1)
HGBCL	38 (12)
HGBCL with c-MYC and either BCL2 and/or BCL6	22 (10)
translocation at infusion	32 (10)
Other	9 (3)
ECOG PS, n (%)	75. 55
0–1 / ≥ 2	274 (85) / 18 (6)
Comorbidities, n (%)	30 30 50 50
Pulmonary (moderate/severe)	82 (25)
Cardiac	80 (25)
Obesity	30 (9)
Renal (moderate/severe)	8 (2)
Hepatic (moderate/severe)	6 (2)
Other	32 (10)
Active CNS involvement, n (%)	18 (6)
IPI score, n (%)	
0–1 / 2	84 (26) / 121 (37.5)
3 / 4–5	92 (28.5) / 26 (8)
Number of prior lines of systemic therapy, median (range)	3 (0–11)
Received standard LDC (FLU/CY), n (%)	294 (91)

CY, cyclophosphamide; FL3B, follicular lymphoma grade 3B; FLU, fludarabine; LDC, lymphodepleting chemotherapy; PMBCL, primary mediastinal B-cell lymphoma.

Figure 1

https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2023-180242